

October 6, 2024

Is Overtourism Just Another 'First-World Problem'?

By: Philipp Wassler

Overtourism is often used as a buzzword. For it to remain a useful concept in managing tourist destinations, there needs to be an agreed-upon method for scientifically determining when overtourism has been reached otherwise we risk trivialising more serious issues faced by some destinations.

The overtourism debate is fraught with extremes, but without scientific measures, it risks becoming a distraction from real global tourism challenges.

"Overtourism" has become a buzzword, sparking debates reminiscent of those surrounding climate change. On one side, there are "overtourism deniers" who dismiss overtourism as a myth, arguing that tourism can and should continue to grow without limits. On the other side, critics view tourism as the latest target for those opposing capitalism," calling for radical reforms or for it to even be abolished.

Both extremes, however, are equally unrealistic and pose significant risks to the tourism sector.

Unlike climate change, which is widely recognised and understood by scientists, the concept of overtourism lacks a clear, universally accepted definition.

Overtourism is rarely measured scientifically and is often used as a buzzword when even a small number of locals protest or graffiti appears on walls.

The term has been in use for decades, but its origins and academic definition are debated. The World Tourism Organization defined overtourism as the negative effects of tourism on residents' daily lives and the negative experiences of visitors.

This definition raises critical questions about how to accurately assess overtourism. About what it is exactly and what are its specific negative impacts.

There are questions about whether a few thousand protesters represent the attitudes of Barcelona's 1.6 million residents, for instance. And how do these attitudes vary based on where people live or their reliance on tourism for their livelihoods.

A numbers game

Understanding overtourism and devising strategies to address it is a complex task.

Tourism is one of the world's most lucrative industries and serves as a vital source of income for many countries, especially in the developing world. The World Travel & Tourism Council (WTTC) projects a record-breaking year for tourism in 2024, set to be worth \$US11.1 trillion to the global economy.

In 2024 tourism industry solidified its authority as a global economic powerhouse, generating one in every 10 dollars worldwide. That's up on 2023, which was worth \$US9.9 trillion, the largest since the golden year of travel in 2019.

Tourism also bolstered its workforce by an additional 27.4 million, propelling the total to nearly 330 million jobs worldwide.

That's why the World Tourism Organization emphasises that overtourism is not just about the number of tourists but also about how well those numbers are managed. If managed correctly, destinations should be able to accommodate more visitors in a sustainable way.

A matter of perception

Adopting the World Tourism Organization's definition, overtourism is a matter of perception from both residents and tourists: it exists when tourists and residents believe that the negative impacts of tourism go beyond their threshold of tolerance.



This raises further debate on how this concept applies to developing economies that are densely populated and accustomed to high levels of crowding, unaffordable housing, and gentrification.

For example, if a Chinese tourist used to the crowds in Shanghai doesn't find a beach in Santorini overcrowded, does overtourism still exist from a tourists' perspective?

There is a paradox in the overtourism debate: a concept often used to critique Western capitalism, may overlook the fact that many developing countries rely on the tourism industry for economic survival and employment.

These nations are less likely to be concerned with the influx of tourists compared to developed countries.

How much tourism is too much?

By 360info editorial team

The UNWTO defines overtourism as the point where tourism negatively impacts residents' daily lives and the experiences of visitors, and this concern has grown as global travel surges back to pre-pandemic levels. In Europe, overtourism has become a particular problem in cities such as Venice, Barcelona, and parts of the Greek Islands. Overcrowding has strained local infrastructure and led to protests from residents. The environmental strain caused by overtourism is also evident in natural destinations across Asia. In Bali and Phuket, rising tourist numbers have led to traffic congestion, pollution, and increased pressure on local resources, threatening the sustainability of these once-pristine environments.

The rise of "Instagram tourism"—where viral social media posts drive large crowds to the same iconic locations—has further intensified the problem. This trend can reduce destinations to mere photo opportunities, eroding the authenticity of the travel experience and exacerbating overtourism in already crowded spots. For local residents, overtourism can bring higher living costs, reduced access to housing, and a loss of cultural identity as traditional lifestyles are pushed aside to cater to the tourist economy.

Some destinations have introduced daily tourist fees or caps on visitor numbers, while others have implemented restrictions on short-term rentals. Redirecting tourists to lesser-known locations is also being considered as a way to ease pressure on overcrowded hotspots. Yet, these measures alone may not be enough. As global tourism continues its post-pandemic recovery, the challenge of overtourism remains significant.

Striking a balance between economic growth, environmental sustainability, and the well-being of local communities will be essential for the future of tourism. Without coordinated efforts from governments, tourism boards, and travellers themselves, many of the world's most cherished destinations could face permanent damage.

The importance of studying residents

The World Tourism Organization suggests that understanding local residents is key to addressing overtourism. This is easier said than done

Tourism studies show that residents are a highly heterogeneous group, and their support for or opposition to tourism varies based on economic dependency on tourism, seasonality, level of education and where they live.

Research has also shown that residents' attitudes towards tourists are not always rational but can be influenced by ideology.

For overtourism to remain a useful concept in managing tourist destinations, there needs to be an agreed-upon method for scientifically determining when it has been reached.

For instance, younger people in Hong Kong were found to be less favourable towards tourists from mainland China, possibly due to their experience during the Umbrella Revolution. In contrast, older people in Vietnam displayed more hostility towards Chinese tourists, potentially due to memories of the Sino-Vietnamese War of 1979.

If residents' attitudes are used as a measure of overtourism, can we confidently claim that their negative views are due to the number of tourists or poor management rather than ideological positions towards the tourism industry?

Recent studies advocate a multidimensional approach to resident attitudes, distinguishing between individual and community-level tourism issues and indicating that the majority of residents either support or are neutral towards the tourism industry.



From a scientific perspective, the overtourism "pandemic" emphasised by the media is not an accurate reflection of reality, at least if measured through resident perceptions.

The risk of 'overtourism panic'

The recent media focus on overtourism is more than just an interesting hashtag or a trending topic. It can actively distort how the tourism industry is perceived and managed in certain destinations.

Overtourism is rarely measured scientifically and is often used as a buzzword when even a small number of locals protest or graffiti appears on walls.

This can create unnecessary hostility between tourists and locals and divert attention from destinations where tourism genuinely poses a problem.

Tourism is a high-impact industry, easily creating negative effects on the local environment and socio-cultural fabric.

By labelling every minor protest or sign of discontent as "overtourism", we risk trivialising more serious issues faced by some destinations, such as increased crime, prostitution, illegal gambling, drug dealing and robbery — many of which occur in the developing world.

For overtourism to remain a useful concept in managing tourist destinations, there needs to be an agreed-upon method for scientifically determining when it has been reached.

Otherwise, the term risks becoming another "first-world problem" that grabs headlines for a while before fading away, leaving large parts of the world still grappling with tourism management and lacking viable economic alternatives.

Originally published under Creative Commons by 360infoTM.

Philipp Wassler is a researcher at the University of Bergamo in Milano. He is an author specialising in travel and tourism, with a passion for controversial and ethically challenging facets of the industry.